

Various Security Impediments of All-Optical Networks: A Review

Simarpreet Kaur^{1,a}, Simranjit Singh^{1,b}

¹Research Scholar, Dept. of ECE, Punjabi University, Patiala

²Assistant Professor, Dept. of ECE, Punjabi University, Patiala

Abstract: This communication presents a review of security snags in all optical networks. Physical and Service attacks have been reviewed. The vulnerabilities in AONs due to different potholes lead to component attacks, optical switching node attacks, attacks due to ultra high powers etc have been reviewed.

Keywords: AONs, Security attacks, network vulnerabilities, All Optical Networks.

I. INTRODUCTION

All optical networks are emerging as a promising technology for terabit per second class telecommunication and data networks. AONs provide huge transmission capacities and are mainly characterized by their transparency to the transmitted traffic. However, they are intrinsically different from electro-optical networks, particularly because they do not undergo optical-to-electrical conversion within the network. Composed of wavelength-division-multiplexed (WDM) links [5] and all-optical switching nodes, AONs provide huge transmission capacities exceeding 1 Tb/s over each fiber [1–3,5]. This makes AONs a promising technology to accommodate the explosive growth of Internet traffic and satisfy the ever-increasing demands on throughput, delay, and overall network performance [5]. In addition to the high transmission capacity feature, AONs are characterized by their transparency to the transmitted traffic [1,3,6–9]. This development takes speed to a new pinnacle and with these new approaches come new vulnerabilities. Although they offer many advantages for high data rate communications, AONs come with new challenges in terms of network security that do not exist in traditional communication networks [1,3,6–9,11,12]. In particular, AON components have different accessibility and vulnerabilities from electronic components. For example, it is quite easy to tap or jam signals at a specific wavelength by bending an optical fiber slightly and either radiating light out of it or coupling light into it. Besides, the optical transmission technology allows for different attack opportunities. For instance, the crosstalk level in switches may be sufficiently low for normal operation but may not be low enough to prevent an eavesdropping attack. In addition, the transparency feature allows an intruder that has gained access to one component to simply pass a signal right through all the components that handle the associated light path. This means that a signal can be injected into the network at a remote location and, by attentive choice of wavelength, affect various parts of the network. This widespread effect is hard to realize in conventional networks because signals are regenerated at every node, and, therefore, a malicious physical signal can be trapped at the ends of a link. Finally, the high data rates employed in AONs make them very sensitive to communication failures because large amounts of data can be affected even with failures of very short duration. Since even short failures can cause large amounts of data to be lost, the need for securing and protecting AONs has become increasingly significant [1,3,8,9,11,12]. Different studies have addressed the security issues in the development of the all-optical networking technology. Actually, various methods have been proposed for attack prevention, detection, localization, and reaction [1–4,6,8,10,13]. Nevertheless, no robust standards or techniques exist to date for guaranteeing the quality of service (QoS) in these networks, and hence the majority of AON security issues are still under study [8]. In this article we are reviewing the status of possible vulnerabilities, their causes and possible solutions if any available.

II. VULNERABILITIES OF AONs



Vulnerability is a flaw or a weakness that may be exploited by an attacker to carry out a security attack. AONs provide transparency capabilities allowing routing and switching of traffic without regeneration of signals within the network. Although transparency, in AONs, offers many advantages for high data rate communications, it manifests new security vulnerabilities.

First and foremost, the transparency feature of AONs acts as a major vulnerability along with its capability to offer very high speeds. Actually, the absence of signal interpretation and regeneration within the network allows for transmission impairments (crosstalk, power increase, etc.) and attack signals to propagate through parts of the network without being discarded at such intermediate nodes. Allowing the propagation of malicious signals through the network, the transparency feature allows an intruder that has gained access to one component to simply pass a signal right through all the components that handle the associated lightpath. This means that an intruder can insert a malicious signal into the network at a remote location and consequently affect many different parts of the network.

Second major susceptibility point in an AON is its component vulnerability. Use of optical components like optical fibers and optical amplifiers make it a point of intrusion. For instance the use of optical fiber may allow a physical attack if it remains unshielded or if someone gains physical access to it. An attacker can easily cut the fiber or bend it slightly, so that the light can be radiated into or out of the fiber [1,3,4,7,9].

Similarly, under high-power input or long distances, fibers exhibit certain nonlinear characteristics causing channel crosstalk effects between WDM channels. Crosstalk is a phenomenon in which a small portion of a wavelength channel leaks onto an adjacent channel. Crosstalk effects may be exploited by an attacker to tap a wavelength channel or to perform an attack by injecting a high-power malicious signal into the network.

Further, the use of amplifiers like EDFA can also make it possible for an attacker to enter into a network very easily. In a fiber, it gets attenuated and its power level decreases. Optical amplifiers are used to transparently amplify optical signals and restore their power to an acceptable level. The gain competition phenomenon may make AONs vulnerable to various forms of SD attacks. Actually, transmitted over an EDFA amplifier, a high-powered malicious signal may exploit amplifier gain competition to both deprive legitimate signals of power and increase its own power. Having an increased power downstream of the amplifier, the malicious signal could transparently spread through the network and affect different data channels over the network.

Other components like optical switching nodes etc. may also be a target for security issues due to the introduction of significant crosstalk levels, which make AONs vulnerable to various attacks.

In addition to these physical attacks, security attacks can also be present in a network. A network security attack may be defined as an intentional action against the ideal and secure functioning of the network [6,11]. A network security attack can be performed at the physical layer, exploiting vulnerabilities of the physical network infrastructures, or at higher network layers, exploiting vulnerabilities of network protocols [1,4,7,9].

While some available management mechanisms can be used in different types of network architectures, many of these are not applicable to AONs. In particular, due to the huge bit rates in AONs, large amounts of information are lost even in the case of attacks of extremely short duration [1–4,7–9,11,12]. Therefore, in the case of a security attack, network restoration should take place as fast as possible avoiding critical delays and traffic loss, and ensuring timely recovery. This requires the development of specific mechanisms allowing fast detection, accurate identification, and quick reaction to security attacks. In addition to the attack management difficulties caused by the high transmission capacity feature, the transparency feature, which refers to the fact that an optical signal is transmitted through the network without interpretation or regeneration, makes attack management in AONs more challenging [1–4,8,9,11,12]. Actually, due to the transparency feature, a security attack may spread rapidly all over the network leading to multiple failures propagating rapidly throughout the network without any restoration. This in particular makes crucial the localization and identification of attacks in AONs. Therefore, specific methods are able to detect and identify



multiple-point failures are needed to address the failure management issue in AONs. Performance management is germane to successful AON operation since it provides signal quality measurements at very low BERs and fault diagnostic support [14]. PN sequences with very long periods using optical logic has been generated having advantage of being scalable and independent of usage of number of gates in optics to provide long period [15]. Use of rapid reconfigurable bit-by-bit code scrambling and code shifting technologies for secure optical communication has been studied. Security improvements for both the OOK and DPSK data modulation formats at various data rates are achieved. The optical code reconfigurable techniques provide an attractive approach for secure optical communication, exhibiting the potential to realize even one time pad [16].

Table-1 Remarks of reviewed papers

| S no | Papers | Remarks |
|------|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. | M. Medard <i>et.al</i> [1] | Physical security issues namely service denial and tapping have been studied. |
| 2. | M. Medard <i>et.al</i> [2] | By virtue of the high rates and low BERs optical communication suffer particularly strenuously from denial of service attacks. |
| 3. | J.K. Patel <i>et.al</i> [3] | An optical signal undergoes many transmission impairments throughout its entire path in an AOTN |
| 4. | M. Medard <i>et.al</i> [4] | Various methods for detecting intentional attacks upon the infrastructure of an all-optical network are enlisted. |
| 5. | A. Lzzez <i>et.al</i> [5] | AONs including WDM system have transmission capacity upto 1Tb/s. |
| 6. | C.M. Machuca <i>et.al</i> [6] | A failure location algorithm that aims to locate single and multiple failures in transparent optical networks is presented |
| 7. | S. Singh <i>et.al</i> [7] | The scheme of a single module for simultaneous operation of all-optical computing circuits, namely half adder and half subtractor, are realized using semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA) based logic gates is presented. |
| 8. | R. Rejeb <i>et.al</i> [8] | An algorithm for multiple attack localization and identification that can participate in some tasks for fault management of all-optical networks is designed. |
| 9. | M. Furdek <i>et.al</i> [9] | Methods for attack detection and localization, as well as various countermeasures against attacks at physical layer are described. |
| 10. | J.S. Yeom <i>et.al</i> [10] | Results with simple vulnerability and attack scenarios in order to demonstrate how the self-organization helps to adapts against new vulnerabilities and avoid attacks are presented. |
| 11. | R. Rejeb <i>et.al</i> [11] | A framework has been designed for the realization of an appropriate management system that can meet the challenges posed by all-optical networks. |
| 12. | R. Rejeb <i>et.al</i> [12] | A novel approach based on a link-by-link test method for detecting performance degradation in wavelength-routed WDM optical networks, which can participate in fault and performance management of AONs is proposed. |
| 13. | G. Castañón <i>et.al</i> [13] | The use of MPR as an instinct immediate network reaction to failures and attacks in transparent networks; after the nodes transmit the data and causes of failure are classified, better self organized decisions can be used based on changing routing output priorities to reach destination is proposed. |
| 14. | R. Rejeb <i>et.al</i> [14] | Management issues with particular emphasis on complications that arise due to the unique characteristics and peculiar behaviors of transparent network components is considered. |



| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|--|
| 15. | M. Medard <i>et.al</i> [15] | High- speed electro-optic scheme for reconfigurable feedback shift registers (RFSRs) that relies upon electronic encryption circuits to reconfigure a sequence of optical logic gates and which makes use of the latency in the optical gates as memory is proposed. |
| 16. | X. Wang <i>et.al</i> [16] | Security improvements for both the OOK and DPSK data modulation formats at various data rates are achieved |

III. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the main challenging issues facing the efficient management of security attacks in AONs, presented a deep analysis of these security challenges of AONs that distinguish them from traditional communication networks. In particular, we have focused on the physical security aspect that differs significantly from that in electro-optic and electronic networks and that directly impacts the physical infrastructure of AONs.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Médard, D. Marquis, R. A. Barry, and S. G. Finn, "Security issues in all-optical networks," *IEEE Netw. Mag.*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 42–48, May 1997.
- [2] M. Médard, "Secure optical communications," in *Lasers and Electro-Optics Society Annu. Meeting*, pp. 323–324, Dec. 1998.
- [3] J. K. Patel, S. U. Kim, D. H. Su, S. Subramaniam, and H.A. Choi, "A framework for managing faults and attacks in WDM optical networks," in *Proc. of the DARPA Information Survivability Conf. and Expo. (DISCEX)*, Anaheim, CA, vol. 2, pp. 137–145, June, 2001.
- [4] M. Médard, D. Marquis, and S. R. Chinn, "Attack detection methods for all-optical networks," in *Network and Distributed System Security Symp., session 3*, San Diego, CA, Mar. 11–13, 1998, paper 2.
- [5] A. Lazzez, N. Boudriga, and M. S. Obaidat, "Optical switching techniques in WDM optical networks," in *The Handbook of Computer Networks*, H. Bidgoli, Ed., vol. 1, part 3. Wiley, 2007, pp. 909–928.
- [6] C. M. Machuca, I. Tomkos, and O. Tonguz, "Failure location algorithm for transparent optical networks," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 1508–1519, Aug. 2005.
- [7] S. Singh, R.S. Kaler, and R. Kaur, "Realization of high speed all optical half adder and half subtractor using SOA based logic gates," *Optical Society of Korea*, Vol.18, no.6, pp.633-638, 2014
- [8] R. Rejeb, M. S. Leeson, and R. J. Green, "Fault and attack management in all-optical networks," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 79–86, Nov. 2006.
- [9] M. Furdek and N. Skorin-Kapov, "Physical layer attacks in transparent optical networks," www.intechopen.com, Oct. 2015.
- [10] J.S. Yeom, O. Tonguz, and G. Castanon, "Security in alloptical networks: Self-organization and attack avoidance," in *IEEE Int. Conf. on Communications (ICC)*, Glasgow, Scotland, June 2007.
- [11] R. Rejeb, M. S. Leeson, C. Mas Machuca, and I. Tomkos, "Control and management issues in all-optical networks," *J. Netw.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 132–139, Feb. 2010.



- [12] R. Rejeb, I. Pavlosoglou, M. S. Leeson, and R. J. Green, "Securing all-optical networks," in *The 5th Int. Conf. on Transparent Optical Networks*, June 29 –July 3, 2003.
- [13] G. Castañón, I. Razo-Zapata, C. Mex, R. Ramirez-Velarde, and O. Tonguz, "Security in all-optical networks: Failure and attack avoidance using self-organization," in *Int. Conf. of Transparent Optical Networks –Mediterranean Winter (ICTON-MW)*, Marrakech, Morocco, Dec. 2008.
- [14] R. Rejeb, M.S. Leeson and R.J. Green," Fault and Attack Management in All-Optical Networks" *IEEE Communication Magazine*, vol. 44, no.11, pp. 79-86, Nov. 2006.
- [15] M. Medard, A.H. Chan, J.D. Moores, K.L.Hall, K.A.Rauschenbach and S. Parikh," Ultrafast Cryptography using optical logic in reconfigurable feedback shift registers,"*Proc. SPIE 3228, Multimedia Networks: Security, Displays, Terminals, and Gateways, 342*, doi:10.1117/12.300905; Feb. 1998.
- [16] X. Wang, Z. Gao, B. Dai, N. Kataoka and N. Wada," Secure Optical Communication based on optical code reconfiguration scheme," *Proc. SPIE 8331, Photonics and Optoelectronics Meetings (POEM) 2011: Optical Communication Systems and Networking, 83310F*, doi: 10.1117/12.924049, Jan. 2012.

