

# COMPARISON OF VARIOUS TECHNIQUES FOR FINDING OPTIMAL SIZING & PLACEMENT OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION UNITS

**Surbhi Bakshi**

surbhi\_pec@yahoo.com

Deptt. of Electrical Engg, PEC University of Technology, Chandigarh, India

*Abstract: Electrical power from a close-by fuel source depending on the type of availability like the solar concentration, wind speeds etc generated by distributed Generation (DG) Units. to reduce the strain on the main supply line. This Increases reliability and reduces cost of power generation and saves non-renewable power resources. This concept of power generation would lead to a greener way which is environment friendly and healthier lifestyles. Therefore, finding a position for the DGU which is economically viable, through a suitable size and minimization of losses is of utmost importance. In this paper, the comparative analysis of various techniques for optimal sizing and placement of Distributed Generators (DG) in distribution networks is done.*

**Index Terms:** Distributed generation (DG), optimization techniques

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Today Electricity is most important by which, we cannot live. Electricity become a part of every home through large scale distribution systems, in which power travels over large distances from the source, which is normally situated at places which are at quite a distance from the residential areas, to mainly reduce the stunting effects of pollution on a neighborhood. The distribution system is normally radial without installed distributed generation units [8]. The power is supplied to the radial distribution network through the transmission line at the Grid Supply Point (GSP). Power flows only in a single direction, that is, from transmission line to the distribution system. Since in the recent past, there have been an increasing number of generation units being connected to the distribution system that is, a Generation unit at the customer side of the meter; such units are called Distribution Generation Units (DGU) [5-8]. The positioning and the magnitude of the generated power supplied to the distribution system by the DGU have an influence on the daily workings of the whole system. It can either make it more efficient or decrease the efficient operation of the system which could adversely affect the stability of the system [9-12]. Large power supplied by a DGU can even reverse the direction of flow



of current. Therefore, finding a position for the DGU which is economically viable, through a suitable size and minimization of losses is of utmost importance.

## 2. DISTRIBUTED GENERATION

Distributed generation (DG), is the process of generating electricity from many small energy sources and connecting it directly to the distribution network or on the customer's side of the meter [4-8]. It can also be called as onsite generation, dispersed generation, decentralized generation, decentralized energy or distributed energy. From the definition, the DG includes those generating units that cannot supply reactive power and are located close to the customer or the end user. DGU kinds are based on the classification of the power resource available in the vicinity of the location such as biomass, biogas, solar and wind. They can be micro-turbines, solar cells, fuel cells and Combined Heat and Power (CHP). Each of them is defined by a particular characteristic like producing only real or reactive power.

Distributed Generation (DG) is a small generator spotted throughout a power system network, providing the electricity locally to load customers [10]. DG can be an alternative source of power supply for industrial, commercial and residential applications. DG makes use of the latest modern technology which is efficient, reliable, and simple enough so that it can compete with traditional large generators in some areas [11]. Placement of DGs is an interesting research area due to economical reason. Distributed generation systems (such as fuel cells, combustion engines, microturbines, etc) can reduce the system loss and defer investment on transmission and distribution expansion. Appropriate size and optimal allocations are the keys to achieve it [13-18].

## 3. CALCULATION OF POWER LOSS

The objective function of DG sizing and placement is to minimize the power loss of the system. The objective function,  $f(x)$  is the result of the total loss of the system,  $P_{Loss}$  to be minimized, as follows:

$$f(x) = \min \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\text{line}} P_{Loss} \right) \quad (i)$$

Where,  $line$  is the number of lines (connecting cables) in the distribution system. Power loss ( $P_{LOSS}$ ) which is connected with a unity power factor of DG is derived analytically and represented by the following equation.

$P_{LOSS}$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \frac{R_{ij}}{V_i V_j} \cos(\delta_i - \delta_j) [P_{DG_j} P_i - P_{L_j} P_i - Q_{L_j} Q_i] - \dots \right. \\ \left. \frac{R_{ij}}{V_i V_j} \sin(\delta_i - \delta_j) [P_{DG_j} Q_i + Q_{L_j} P_i - P_{L_j} Q_i] \right] \quad (ii)$$

where,

$V_j \angle \delta_j$  the complex voltage at the bus  $j$ th;

$R_{ij}$  the  $ij$ th element of resistance;

$P_i$  and  $P_j$  the active power injections at the  $i$ th and  $j$ th buses, respectively;

$Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  the reactive power injections at the  $i$ th and  $j$ th buses, respectively;

$P_{DG_j}$  the DG active power injections at the  $j$ th bus;

$n$  the number of buses.

Being known that, the total active power loss of the system will be at the minimum if the partial derivative of  $P_{LOSS}$  with respect to the active power injection from DG,  $P_{DG_j}$  at bus  $j$  is equal to zero.

(iii)

#### 4. ALLOCATION OF DG FOR VOLTAGE PROFILE IMPROVEMENT & LOSS MINIMIZATION

Optimal allocation (sizing & sitting) of DG at the existing distribution network is a very important factor in the planning and operation of active distribution network as optimum DG location can improve voltage profile and to minimize power loss. Voltage profile of a system can be improved by introducing DG unit because DG can provide a portion of real and reactive power to the load locally [17]. Power loss in a system depends on numerous factors such as system configuration, such as level of losses through transmission and distribution lines, transformers, capacitors, insulators etc. There are two categories of power losses, one is real power loss and another is reactive power loss. Real power loss is caused due to the resistance of lines and

reactive power loss is caused due to reactive elements. Real power loss reduces the efficiency of transmitting energy to customers. Reactive power loss is also important. Reactive power flow in the system needs to be maintained at a certain level for sufficient voltage level. It is possible to transfer real power to customers through transmission and distribution lines. Optimum location of DG in a distribution network can provide several benefits such as voltage profile improvement, loss minimization, power quality improvement, contributing for frequency regulation and acting as spinning reserve in main system [19].

## 5. OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES

**I. Analytical Technique:** Analytical techniques represent the system by a mathematical model and evaluate it using direct numerical solution [9].

**II. Genetic Algorithm**[21-22]: Genetic Algorithms (GA) has become increasingly popular in the recent times. Genetic algorithms belong to the larger class of evolutionary algorithms (EA), which generate solutions to optimization problems using techniques inspired by natural evolution, such as inheritance, mutation, selection, and crossover. In a genetic algorithm, a population of strings (called chromosomes or the genotype of the genome), which encode candidate solutions (called individuals, creatures, or phenotypes) to an optimization problem, evolves toward better solutions.

**III. Heuristic Techniques:** A heuristic is an algorithm that locates optimal or near optimal solutions to a problem without concern for whether the solution can be proven to be correct [13].

**IV. Meta-Heuristic Techniques**[6]: A meta-heuristic is an iterative generation process which can act as a guide for its subordinate heuristics to efficiently find the optimal or near optimal solutions of the optimization problem.

**a. Tabu Search**[14]: Tabu search is a meta-heuristic approach which has dramatically changed the ability to solve a host of optimization problems.

**b. Particle Swarm Optimization**[2,15]: Particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a population based stochastic optimization technique developed by Dr. Eberhart and Dr. Kennedy in 1995, inspired by social behavior of bird flocking or fish schooling. PSO shares many similarities with evolutionary computation

techniques such as Genetic Algorithms (GA). The system is initialized with a population of random solutions and searches for optima by updating generations. However, unlike GA, PSO has no evolution operators such as crossover and mutation. In PSO, the potential solutions, called particles, fly through the problem space by following the current optimum particles.

**c. Ant Colony Optimization**[23]: Ant colony algorithms are based on the behavior of social insects with an exceptional ability to find the shortest paths from the nest to the food sources using a chemical substance called pheromone.

**d. Simulated Annealing**[16]: Simulated Annealing (SA) is a generic probabilistic meta-heuristic for the global optimization problem which locates a good approximation to the global optimum of a given function in a large search space. It is often used when the search space is discrete.

**V. Genetic Algorithm Hybrid Approach:** Genetic Algorithm has been found to be very effective in area of DG allocation; however it is not very efficient in determining the absolute optimum. Therefore it is not the obvious choice when the high quality solutions are desired. To overcome this drawback, GA is combined with other techniques in order to improve its efficiency.

**Table: Comparison of Various Techniques**

| Optimization Approach       | Benefits   | Drawbacks   |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Analytical Technique</b> | Computing time is short. Easy to implement. Non -iterative in nature. Unlike other techniques, does not pose convergence problems  | When the problem becomes complex, the assumptions used in order to simplify the problem may override the accuracy of the solution. Lacks Robustness.                |
| <b>Genetic Algorithm</b>    | Can rapidly locate solutions, even for large search spaces. Works with discrete and continuous parameters. Very useful for complex and loosely defined problems. No derivatives needed.  | Repeated fitness function evaluation for large and complex problems may be time consuming. Lack of accuracy, not suitable when a high quality solution is required. |
| <b>Tabu Search</b>          | Has explicit memory. Allows non-improving solution to be accepted in order to escape from a local optimum. Tabu search obtains solution, that rival and often surpass the best solutions previously found by other approaches. | Too many parameters to be determined<br>Number of iterations could be very large<br>Global optimum may not be found, depends on parameter Settings                  |

|                                    |   |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Particle Swarm Optimization</b> | No overlapping and mutation. Simplified calculation. Adopts the real number code, and it is decided directly by the solution. | Can not work out the problems of scattering and optimization.  |
| <b>Ant Colony Algorithm</b>        | Inherent parallelism. Positive Feedback accounts for rapid discovery of good solutions.                                       | Theoretical analysis is difficult Sequences of random decisions (not independent) Probability distribution changes by iteration. Time to convergence uncertain (but convergence is guaranteed) |
| <b>Simulated Annealing</b>         | Ease of implementation. Ability to provide reasonably good solutions for many combinatorial problems. Robustness              |  |

This comparison has shown a critical review of various techniques which have been employed to address the issue of Distributed generation siting and sizing with respect to various objectives.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the comparative analysis of various methods and techniques available for the optimal placement of distributed generation resources & for minimizing losses is done. The DG of different kinds of energy systems allows for the integration of renewable and nonconventional energy resources. As DG technologies improve and cost decrease, their use is expected to rise. However, this may lead to a compromise in solution quality and computational time. A hybrid of two or more approaches can however, offer a better option by incorporating the benefits of approaches and discarding the drawbacks.

## 7. REFERENCES

- [1] Gopiya, Naik S. D, K. Khatod, "Optimal Allocation of Distributed Generation in Distribution System for Loss Reduction", IACSIT Coimbatore Conferences 2012.
- [2] Yusran1, Mochamad Ashari, Adi Soeprijant, "Optimal Distributed Generation (DG) allocation for Losses Reduction Using Improved Particle Swarm Optimization (IPSO) Method", Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research 2(7) 7016-7023, 2012.
- [3] Omid Javanmardi, Masoud Nasri, Iman Sadeghkhani, "Investigation of Distributed Generation Effects on the Voltage Profile and Power Losses in Distribution Systems", Advances in Electrical Engineering Systems 74 Vol. 1, No. 2, June 2012.

- [4] Nibedita Ghosh, Sharmistha Sharma, Subhadeep Bhattacharjee, “A Load Flow based Approach for Optimum Allocation of Distributed Generation Units in the Distribution Network for Voltage Improvement and Loss Minimization”, *International Journal of Computer Applications*, Volume 50 – No.15, July 2012.
- [5] Mohsenzadeh, Amin, Haghifam, Mahmoud-reza, “Simultaneous placement of conventional and renewable distributed generation using fuzzy multiobjective optimization”, *Integration of Renewables into the Distribution Grid, CIRED 2012 Workshop*, 29-30 May 2012.
- [6] Jain, Naveen, Singh, S. N., Srivastava, S. C., “Meta-heuristic approach for Distributed Generation planning in electricity market paradigm”, *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, IEEE 2012*, 22-26 July 2012.
- [7] Kobayashi, Takanari, Aoki, Hidenori, “SVR optimal placement problem in distribution network with Distributed Generators”, *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE*, 22-26 July 2012.
- [8] Nasrolahpour, E. Ghasemi, H. Monsef, H. Khoub, “DG placement considering voltage sag and losses”, *Environment and Electrical Engineering (EEEIC), 2012 11th International Conference*, 18-25 May 2012.
- [9] Martinez, Juan A. Guerra, Gerardo, “Optimum placement of distributed generation in three-phase distribution systems with time varying load using a Monte Carlo approach”, *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE*, 22-26 July 2012.
- [10] Ghaderi, S.F., Zakerinia, M.S., Vaezi, “Optimal placement of wind turbine farms in Iran using new ranking method”, *Renewable Energy and Distributed Generation (ICREDG), 2012 Second Iranian Conference*, 6-8 March 2012.
- [11] Picciariello, A. Alvehag, K., Soder, “State-of-art review on regulation for distributed generation integration in distribution systems”, *European Energy Market (EEM), 2012 9th International Conference*, 10-12 May 2012.
- [12] Blanco, Hermes Javier, Eslava, “Intelligent integration based on optical telecommunications to optimize distributed generation”, *Integration of Renewables into the Distribution Grid, CIRED 2012 Workshop*, 29-30 May 2012.

- [13] Preda, Traian-Nicolae, NTNU - Norway, Uhlen, Kjetil ; Nordgard, Dag Eirik , “An overview of the present grid codes for integration of distributed generation”, Integration of Renewables into the Distribution Grid, CIRED 2012.
- [14] Farmad, Hadi Safari, “Integration of demand side management, distributed generation, renewable energy sources and energy storages”, Integration of Renewables into the Distribution Grid, CIRED 2012 Workshop, 29-30 May 2012.
- [15] Musa, I. Zahawi, B. ; Gadoue, S. M. ; Giaouris, D., “Integration of Distributed Generation for network loss minimization and voltage support using Particle Swarm Optimization”, Power Electronics, Machines and Drives (PEMD 2012), 6th IET International Conference, 27-29 March 2012.
- [16] Liu, JiaCo., Zhang, Weiqi ; Zhou, Rui ; Zhong, Jinning, “Impacts of distributed renewable energy generations on smart grid operation and dispatch”, Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE, 22-26 July 2012.
- [17] Jessler, Ralf , “D-STATCOM with interoperability features for a safe integration of distributed power generation”, Integration of Renewables into the Distribution Grid, CIRED 2012 Workshop, Date of Conference: 29-30 May 2012.
- [18] Munoz-Alvarez, D. , Cadena, A.I. ; Alzate, J.M. , “Integrating variable distributed generation within short-term electricity markets”, Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT), 2012 IEEE PES, 16-20 Jan. 2012.
- [19] Po-Chen Chen, Salcedo, R. , Qingcheng Zhu , de Leon, F. , Czarkowski, D. , Zhong-Ping Jiang , Spitsa, V. , Zabar, Z. , Uosef, R.E. , “Analysis of Voltage Profile Problems Due to the Penetration of Distributed Generation in Low-Voltage Secondary Distribution Networks” Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions , Oct. 2012.
- [20] Khadkikar, V. Varma, R.K. , Seethapathy, R. , Chandra, A. , Zeineldin, H., “Impact of distributed generation penetration on grid current harmonics considering non-linear loads”, Power Electronics for Distributed Generation Systems (PEDG), 2012 3rd IEEE International Symposium, 25-28 June 2012.
- [21] Veeramsetty, V. Naga Lakshmi, G.V., Jayalaxmi, A., “Optimal allocation and contingency analysis of Embedded Generation deployment in distribution network using Genetic Algorithm”, Computing, Electronics and Electrical Technologies (ICCEET), 2012 International Conference , 21-22 March 2012.



[22] Albadi, M.H. , Al-Hinai, A.S., Al-Abri, N.N., Al-Busafi, Y.H., Al-Sadairi, R.S, “Optimal Allocation of Renewable-Based DG Resources in Rural Areas Using Genetic Algorithms”, Power and Energy engineering Conference (APPEEC), 2012 Asia-Pacific, 27-29 March 2012.

[23] Hussain, I. Roy, A.K., “Optimal distributed generation allocation in distribution systems employing modified artificial bee colony algorithm to reduce losses and improve voltage profile”, Advances in Engineering, Science and Management (ICAESM), 2012 International Conference ,30-31 March 2012.