COLLISION AVOIDANCE AND ENERGY OPTIMIZATION BY CLUSTERING IN WSN

Deepinder Kaur
MTech Student
Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab

Abstract — In wireless sensor networks, congestion occurs when the traffic exceeds the obtainable capability of sensing element nodes. In most applications, each sensing element node will send the event it's detected to a sink node. This operation makes the sensors nearer to the sink, leading to congestion. Congestion cause loss of packets, lower throughput and sensing element energy waste. The proposed system contributes a solution for the avoidance of packet collisions and minimizing energy consumption in the wireless sensor networks. The GLASS protocol and the PBEEC (Prediction Based Energy Efficient Clustering) are combined to get a well-organized model for the WSN. Though the time slot methodology plays a role in energy savings, the results are not so prominent when the network grows larger. So, the proposed work incorporates the algorithm PBEEC (Prediction Based Energy Efficient Clustering) which makes full use of the history information for developing the clustered network. The results show that the performance of the proposed scheme for saving resources in wireless sensor network is best.

Keywords— **Congestion Control, Clusters, PBEEC, Wireless Sensor Network.**

INTRODUCTION

A wireless sensor network is a network which consists of a number of tiny sensor nodes that are wirelessly connected to each other. This small, low-cost, low-power, multifunctional sensor nodes can communicate in short distances. Each sensor node consists of sensing, data processing, and communication components. A large number of these sensor nodes collaborate form wireless sensor networks [1]. A WSN usually consists of tens to thousands of such nodes that communicate through wireless channels for information sharing and cooperative processing. To ensure scalability and to increase the efficiency of the network operation, sensor nodes are often grouped into clusters [2][3].

Wireless micro-sensor networks represent a new paradigm for extracting data from the environment. Conventional systems use large, expensive macro-sensors that are often wired directly to an end-user and need to be accurately placed to obtain the data. The most difficult resource constraint to meet is power consumption in wireless sensor networks. The use of wireless sensor networks is increasing day by day and at the same time it faces the problem of energy constraints in terms of limited battery lifetime. As each node depends on energy for its activities, this has become a major issue in wireless sensor networks. The failure of one node can interrupt the entire system or application. Every sensing node can be in active, idle and sleep modes. In active mode, nodes consume energy when

receiving or transmitting data. In idle mode, the nodes consume almost the same amount of energy as in active mode. While in sleep mode, the nodes shutdown the radio to save the energy. A wireless sensor network platform must provide support for a suite of application-specific protocols that drastically reduce node size, cost, and power consumption for their target application.

The following steps can be taken to save energy caused by communication in wireless sensor networks.

- Changing the transmission range between the sensing nodes.
- Using efficient routing and data collecting methods.
- Avoiding the handling of unwanted data as in the case of overhearing.
- To schedule the state of the nodes.

REVIEW OF RELATED WORK

A sensor network consists of huge number of small sensors nodes, whose aim in 'field of interest' region to observe a single or multiple phenomena [12]. These nodes have limited battery power. Data routed from source to destination may follow many possible routes. Suppose source node generates data and is delivered to destination node. If the node has sufficient battery power only then it will transmit data to the distance node. If the node lies in its transmission range, then only data can transmit between two nodes without any failure. If the node is situated far away from the source node, where data is transferred, then large battery power is required to transmit the data. After few transmissions, a stage will reached when a node eliminated from the network path because it reached to its threshold battery level. A situation will be reached when no node will be available for transmission of data and the overall lifetime of a network decrease [13]. For enhancing the lifetime of network, there should be balance between energy consumption used by nodes and their energy reserved.

Shio Kumar Singh et al. in [10] proposed Homogenous Clustering Algorithm for wireless sensor networks to improve the energy efficiency and scalability of wireless sensor network. In this algorithm, firstly the sensor nodes are randomly clustered and then to balance the size of the clusters, they conduct self adaptive optimization. The algorithm is divided into rounds. At each round, the current cluster heads selects cluster member's node as the next cluster head. The rotation of cluster head is transparent to other cluster members.

Yash Pall et al. in [16] Proposed an energy saving scheme named as maximize the lifetime of Object tracking senor Network with node-to-node Activation Scheme (MLONAS) in which some nodes remain in sleep mode while some nodes are involved in tracking of an object. In this algorithm, when

an object enter the other node's region it will activate that node and when that node start tracking the object previous one will go to sleep mode. Thus, this algorithm increases the lifetime of sensor network.

S M Lambor et al. in [17] evaluate the relationship between network lifetime and the energy consumption in a multi-hop wireless sensor network to enhance the performance. In evaluation, they had shown that as the network lifetime raised, the energy consumption decreased with the increment in number of hops and attain the minimum at critical hops. After the critical hops, the energy consumption gradually raised due to increase in cumulative energy consumption of intermediate nodes.

Senouci et al. in [20] study the lifetime of flat and hierarchical sensor network routing protocols, namely : DIRECT, FLOODING, GOSSIPING, LEACH and HEED.

To increase the HEED's lifetime, they introduced a new technique EHEED. The new technique is compared to other protocols and comes to the conclusion that EHEED is very effective for long-lived sensor network.

IMPROVED CLUSTER BASED APPROACH

In existing protocol, sensing field was divided into grids (particular defined area) and after that they consider the transmission frame (particular time slot used to handle different states of sensor node).Latin square matrix has been used for providing time window to the sensing grid which will avoid collision. At initial state sensor will perform neighbour discovery and form a neighbour table for future. For inter cluster communication prediction based clustering algorithm has been used .

In this proposed work, we proposed an improvement in inter cluster communication by improving clustering approach. In our proposed work we checked the energy level of the sensors and judged the participation of the sensor in different tasks accordingly. This improves the quality and save resources too.

3.1 Proposed Model

Some of the milestones which need to be fulfilling to simulate research proposed concept is given below:

- Find the solution for communication in between sensor and sink.
- To minimize the congestion in communication.
- Increase the throughput with congestion avoidance.
- Increase network lifetime while communication by saving resources.

A proposed concept of congestion avoidance is used to avoid the battery drain of the wireless sensor network by avoiding resources wastage to solve the congestion issues. For experimentation we have used network simulator version 2 with animation for the concept of congestion avoidance. Various parameters used for experimentation is below table 1:

Table 1

Parameters	Value
Simulator	NS2
Simulation Time	30 sec
No of nodes	5 Logical subnets
Routing Protocol	AODV
Traffic Model	CBR
Pause Time	100 sec
Speed	11 mps
Number of sources	2
Sub-packet size	256 bytes
Transmit Power	15mW
Receiving Power	13 mW
Initial battery power	100j
MAC layer	802.11
Time Slots	Grid Distribution

3.2 Proposed Methodology

The proposed system contributes a solution for the avoidance of packet collisions and energy efficient approach in the wireless sensor networks. The GLASS protocol and the PBEEC (Prediction Based Energy Efficient Clustering) are combined to get a well-organized model for the WSN.

Nodes with high residual energy and low energy consumption ratio have more possibility to be cluster heads. The proposed model uses the energy dissipation ratio, which means the percentage of energy consumption per unit time, generated from the history information to predict the time the node may survive. The cluster head selection is primary based on the parameter, Predicted Survival Time (PST) of the node. To increase energy efficiency and further prolong network lifetime, cluster communication cost as a secondary clustering parameter. The proposed algorithm is simple enough to load on sensor nodes which have limited memory and computing ability.



Fig 1: Simulation scenario for experimentation

The proposed work use to simulate sensor network implementation and proceeded with saving energy for sensors. At initial phase basic functionality and collection of information (simulator, basic sensor functions etc) has been done. Network simulator has been used to provide the simulation and results of the proposed work. Grid area considered as logical based on network grid area nodes which represent the different area for the sensing ground. In fig 1, overview of the simulation has been shown. Various parameters for experimentation are shown in table 1. The transmitting and receiving power has been configured with basic energy carried by sensor nodes.

The initial state is for selecting cluster heads based on the residual energy of the nodes. Further we have implemented a simple scenario for sensor nodes and divided the grid area into equal parts. Sensing process starts with computation and communication later on. After this we have implemented the time slices based on the time sot availability for avoiding congestion in the network. Basic GLASS protocol has been implemented and proposed work has been tested as compared to the GLASS protocol. Finally we have done comparison for GLASS and proposed work with delay, overhead, packet delivery ratio and throughput as base parameters for performance variation. Fig 1, shows the concept as described above in network simulation.

RESULTS

The results are based on the simulation of congestion avoidance concept in sensing field for wireless sensor network. Fig 2 shows the throughput comparison for the proposed work and GLASS protocol in sensor network. The comparison shows throughput in case of Glass protocol is less as compared to the throughput of the proposed work. The throughput of proposed work is increased by 5.2%.



Fig 2: Comparison of GLASS and proposed work in term of throughput

Fig 3 shows the packet delivery ratio comparison for the proposed work and GLASS protocol in sensor network. The comparison shows the number of packets is more in case of proposed time slot concept scenario as compared to normal GLASS protocol. The packet delivery ratio is increased by 20%.



Fig 3: Comparison of proposed scheme and GLASS protocol in term of Packet Delivery Ratio

Fig 4 shows the energy consumption comparison for the proposed work and GLASS protocol in sensor network. The comparison shows the consumption is less in case of proposed time slot concept scenario as compared to normal GLASS protocol. The consumption is reduced by 18%.



Fig 4: Comparison of proposed scheme and GLASS protocol in term of energy consumption

Fig 5 shows the end to end delay comparison for the proposed work and GLASS protocol in sensor network. The comparison shows the delay is less in case of proposed time slot concept scenario as compared to normal GLASS protocol. The delay is reduced by 8%.



Fig 5: Comparison of proposed scheme and GLASS protocol in term of end to end delay

The results shown above summarized the performance of the proposed scheme for saving resources in wireless sensor network. Traditional AODV process scheme provide good solution for communication but proposed scheme have much better performance in saving resources and to reduce energy consumption. The energy consumption is less in case of mobile sinks

CONCLUSION

This proposed work is based on the concept of saving of resources in the wireless sensor network area by bringing concept of time slot variation and grid distribution with congestion avoidance schemes. This work is very useful in saving resources and in process to improve the lifetime of the wireless sensor network. The solution for packet collision problem with energy efficient approach for wireless sensor networks is proposed. The proposed ideology has two major modules, namely GLASS protocol and efficient time slot congestion avoidance technique. The GLASS protocol has the methodology of avoiding the packet collision which comprises of three phases- Grid Searching (GS), Transmission Frame (TF) Assignment and Assignment of Time slots. It is evident that this GLASS protocol reduces packet collision by exploiting the time slots and grids for the sensor nodes. The results shown that the GLASS protocol is showing good results and our proposed work over perform the GLASS rotocol for packet collision avoidance strategies.

REFERENCES

1. K.Sheela Sobana Rani,"Energy Efficient Approach for Collision Avoidance in Wireless Sensor Networks",Journal of Information Engineering and Applications, Vol 2, No.3, 2012.
2. Elham Hajian,Kamal Jamshidi,Ali Bohlooli, "Increasing WSN lifetime by using learning automata for optimal route selection", International conference on Information, Networking and Automation(ICINA),Kunming, 978-1-4244-8106-4/©2010,IEEE, pp.VI-215-VI-218,2010.
3. Subhankar Mishra, Sudhansu Mohan Satpathy, and Abhipsa Mishra, "LEAD: Energy Efficient Protocol for Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", CCSIT @ Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Part II, CCIS 132, pp. 99–106, 2011.
4. Kuong-Ho Chen, Jyh-Ming Huang, Chieh-Chuan Hsiao, "CHIRON: An Energy-Efficient Chain-Based Hierarchical Routing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks", WTS'09 Proceedings of the 2009 conference on Wireless Telecommunication Symposium, IEEE press Piscataway, NJ, USA, 978-1-4244-2589-1/09/©2009, IEEE, pp. 1-5,2009.
5. Shih-Hao Chang, Madjid Merabti, Hala Mokhtar, "A causal model method for fault diagnose in wireless sensor networks",10th IEEE International conference on Computer and Information Technology,Bradford, 978-0-7695-4108-2/10/©2010,IEEE, pp.155-162,2010.
6. N. Vlajic, D. Stevanovic, "Performance Analysis of Zig Bee-based Wireless Sensor Networks with Path-Constrained Mobile Sink(s)", 2009 Third International Conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications, 2009.

7. Thanos Stathopoulos, Rahul Kapoor, Deborah Estrin, John Heidemann, Lixia Zhang, "Application Based Collision Avoidance in Wireless sensor networks", UCLA department of computer science, USC, Information Sciences Institute.
8. Smriti Joshi, Anant Kr. Jayswal," Energy-Efficient MAC Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network - A Review", Amity University, Noida, ISSN no.2248-9738 vol-1, 2012.
9. Mohamed Younis,Tamer Nadeem," Energy efficient MAC protocols for wireless sensor networks ", Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21205 , Department of Computer Science, University of Maryland College Park, College Park, MD 20742.
10. Shio Kumar Singh, M P Singh and D K Singh, "Energy Efficient Homogenous Clustering Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Networks", International Journal of Wireless & Mobile Networks (IJWMN), Vol.2, 2010.
11. Ilker Demirkol, Cem Ersoy, Fatih Alagšz," MAC Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks: a Survey" .
12. Sandip Kumar Chaurasiya, Tumpa Pal and Sipra Das Bit, "An Enhanced Energy-Efficient Protocol with Static Clustering for
13. WSN", International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN), 2011
14. Gowrishankar.S , T.G.Basavaraju , Manjaiah D.H , Subir Kumar Sarkar," Issues in Wireless Sensor Networks", Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering,London UK,Vol 1 WCE 2008, July 2 - 4, 2008.
15. Z.G. Wan, Y.K. Tan, C. Yuen, "Review on Energy Harvesting and Energy Management for Sustainable Wireless Sensor Networks", School of Electronic Information, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, China,Energy Research Institute @ Nanyang Technological University (ERI@N), Singapore, Singapore University of Technology and Design (SUTD), Singapore, 2011.
16. Yash Pall, Lalit K., Awasthi and A. J. Singh, "Maximize the Lifetime of Object Tracking Sensor Network with Node-to- Node Activation Scheme", IEEE International Advance Computing Conference (IACC), 2009.
17. S M Lambor and S M Joshi, "Performance Analysis of Network Lifetime and Energy Consumption in a Multi-Hop Wireless Sensor Network", International Conference and workshop on Emerging Trends in Technology (ICWET), 2011.
18. Onur Soysal, Sami Ayyorgun and Murat Demirbas, "PowerNap: An Energy Efficient MAC Layer for Random Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks", IEEE Communications Society.

19. Kumar M.K.Jeya and R.S.Rajesh, “Performance Analysis of MANET Routing Protocols in Different Mobility Models”, International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security (IJCSNS), Vol.9, pp.22-29, 2009.
20. Mustapha Reda Senouci, Abdelhamid mellouk, Amar Aissani and Hadj Senouci, “Performance Evaluation of the Network Lifetime for Routing Protocols in WSN”, International Conference on Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing (IWCMC), 2011.